

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/045,084 01/15/2002 Takaya Sato 0171-0811P-SP 2914 7590 10/18/2004 EXAMINER BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LE, HOA VAN PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1752

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/045,084	SATO ET AL.	
·-	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoa V. Le	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 36(a) and the appropriate exite. The appropriate exite.	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) \boxtimes they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	o will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		• •	
Claim(s) allowed:	•	-	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-4.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1,5-13 and	<u>15-27</u> .		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
10. ☐ Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER			
	Hoa Van Le	Hoa V. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/045,084

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed language "consisting of" would require further consideration and search. It would be late at this state of the prosecution to change the scope of the claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment is not entered or considered. The arguments based on it have a little to no value.

HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER